THE STORY OF LOVEWELL INSTITUTE FOR THE CREATIVE ARTS:

VISION, THEORY, METHOD

Project Demonstrating Excellence/ Dissertation

Submitted to the Faculty and Deans of
Union Institute and University
in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of

Doctor of Philosophy

With a concentration in Arts and Sciences

Specialization in Interdisciplinary Arts

Submitted by David Sheridan Spangler Learner # 6166

Committee

Sherry Penn, Ph.D., Core Professor Laurence J. Ryan, Ph.D., Second Core Professor Robert Richard Pevitts, Ph.D., Adjunct Professor Norman J. Fedder, Ph.D., Adjunct Professor

Gary Diomandes, Ph.D., Peer Brenda Harris, M.S., ED., Peer

Abstract

This Project Demonstrating Excellence (PDE)/Dissertation blends autoethnography, historiography, and other forms of qualitative research as it examines the evolution and development of Lovewell Institute for the Creative Arts' philosophy, pedagogy, human resources, creative process, business, social action, spiritual implications, and educational applications of its process and methodology. This study also focuses on the emergence of Interdisciplinary Arts as a compelling new field of study; the imbalance between creative arts and interpretive arts; the "creative process" as an effective methodology for intellectual, psychological, and spiritual inquiry; the synergistic relationship between artistic achievement, educational advancement, and social transformation; and the Lovewell Method as a viable educational methodology for teaching and learning a variety of arts-based and non-arts-based skills. This research project was designed around three distinct but complementary components. Each component comprises a section of this document and is framed by a primary research question. Part 1 addresses the question, "What is Lovewell?" The first eleven chapters of this PDE/dissertation endeavor to answer that question through a blend of historiography, autoethnography, and descriptions of fundamental aspects and constructs of the Lovewell Method and theory. Part 2 asks, "How does Lovewell affect its constituents?" This section examines the effects that Lovewell Institute has had on students; staff; parents; teachers; community; the domains of music, theatre, dance, design, and interdisciplinary arts; and the field of creative process and new works. The design of Part 2 includes a qualitative analysis and interpretation of a Likert survey administered to 29 research participants, an assessment of evaluation data

collected over the past 20 years by various other researchers, and the examination of a case study (Yoon, 2000) on Lovewell Institute constituents. Part 3 addresses the final research question, "What is Lovewell's potential for future growth, and what new relevant theories can be derived from this research?" This section rejoins the discoveries and findings gained from this study with the relevant scholarly conversation.

Dedication

To the Lovewell staff artists, instructors, and administrators who are daily clearing the path, lighting the way, and giving voice to a new generation.

To the Lovewell Board who devote untold resources and guidance in keeping the flame of creativity burning.

To the Lovewell parents who know that it takes a village because they *are* the village.

To the Lovewell students who illuminate us with boundless energy, refuse to let us grow old, and constantly remind us why we do what we do.

To my family: my wife, Martha, and my children, Marjorie, Catherine, Isadora, and Sheridan, who have shared the dream, endured the hardships, and truly expanded the vision and research.

Acknowledgments

The steadfast guidance and inspiration from Dr. Sherry Eve Penn is greatly appreciated. Her vast experience in education and the arts, her pioneering in expressive arts therapy, and her deep understanding of creative-process-based research have been extremely valuable resources. I am very grateful to Dr. Abraham Fischler for his support over the past 12 years in both his vision for the Lovewell Institute and his faith in me as an educator and artist. Thank you to Harriet Mathis who has been a true friend and confidante. I extend gratitude to the Lovewell staff artists, instructors, and administrators who, over the past 15 years, have made valuable contributions towards the development of the Lovewell Method: Carrie Gilchrist Hagen, Ryan McCall, Jamie Johnson McCall, Joe Hagen, Nathan Tysen, Gary Wayne, Katy Holly, Stephanie Glazer, Tamir Hendelman, Leslie Bennett, Holly McLean, Joel Bicknell, Michelle Rivers, Chris Miller, Nina Zakrzewski, Randy Filmore, Linda Ochs, Brian Schmidt, Deb Wall, Tom Ward, Jan Hein Phelps, Jeannette Bonjour, James Talley, and Janet Nagy.

Deep gratitude goes to my friends in Sweden who have worked so hard to establish the Lovewell program there: Alf Josefsson, Ulf Waltersson, Emelie Lundin, Nils-Petter Ankarblom, Maria Erickson, Henrick Harryson, and my colleague at Linkoping University who is pioneering interdisciplinary arts in Sweden, Dr. Margaretha Grahn. I am also thankful to Dr. Wells Singleton, Provost of Nova Southeastern University's Fischler School of Education and Human Services, for his support and patience while working on this manuscript. I thank my colleagues at Fischler School, Dr. Stan Hannah, Dr. Alan Altman, Dr. Gioia Gabellieri Bargagli, and Mel Stringer, for their invaluable help with the research. Thanks to past and present members of the Lovewell Board: Mary Koch, Martha

Rhea, Royce Young, Pat Fraser, Deb Frenkel, Bill Shoemaker, Susan Dvorak, Laurie Cohen, Jana Sigars-Malina, and Connie Crawford-Rodriguez. Many thanks to Josephine Cuccaro and Lori Faye Fischler, Lovewell Board members, who helped review the manuscript.

I am grateful to my master's degree students at Nova Southeastern University, including Orlando Espinoza, Deborah Walsh Mendiola, and especially my graduate assistant, Melissa Axel. Judy Lloyd has been very helpful in the editing of this manuscript, and I am grateful for her rigor and dedicated assistance. A special thanks to my doctoral committee members who have stood by me with consistent support and encouragement: Dr. Sherry Penn, Dr. Lawrence J. Ryan, Dr. Robert Richard Pevitts, Dr. Norman J. Fedder, Dr. Gary Diomandes, and Ms. Brenda Harris. And finally, thanks to my wife, Martha, and our children, Marjorie, Catherine, Isadora, and Sheridan, who have provided an unparalleled learning environment and untold blessings.

Contents

	Page
Abstract	
Dedication	
Acknowledgements	
Table of Contents	vii
PART 1: WHAT IS LOVEWELL? (ORIENTATION, FOUNDATIONS, AND)
CONTENT)	
CO1(1E1(1)	
Chapter 1: Introduction	1
Introductory Statement	
Statement of the Problem	
A Description of the Research Questions	
Research Question 1	
Research Question 2	
Research Question 3	
A Definition of the Terms Most Used	14
The Lovewell Method	
Lovewell Institute	
Interdisciplinary arts	
Creative arts and interpretive arts	
How This PDE/Dissertation is Organized	
Chapter 2: Review of the Literature	
Primary Categories of Inquiry	
Interdisciplinary Arts	
Education	
Social Sciences and Psychology	
Creative Process	
The Yoon (2000) Study of Lovewell Institute	
Summary of Literature Review	68
Chapter 3: Methodology	71
Arts-Based Research	71
The Qualitative Research Paradigm	
Integrated and Layered Research Methods	76
Historiography and Autoethnography	
Sampling	
Data Gathering	
Triangulation and Tacit Knowledge	
Data Analysis Trustworthiness	
Ethical Considerations	
Crystallization	98

101
101
101
113
113
113
135
135
156
161
161
165
169
204
204
205
202
20 /
250
250
251
253
254
274
274
277
278
279
283
289
290
294
294
294
297
298
301
301
303
309

Chapter 12: The Likert Survey and Other Assessments	Oth	er Human Resources Vital to Lovewell Institute	314
AND EVALUATION)	Hur	nan and Corporate Resources with Similar Missions	316
AND EVALUATION)			
Chapter 12: The Likert Survey and Other Assessments			220
Overview of the Second Research Question	AND EVA	LUATION)	320
Overview of the Second Research Question	Chapter 12	· The Likert Survey and Other Assessments	320
Results of the Likert Survey			
Other Sources of Evaluative Data			
Summary			
NEW RELEVANT THEORIES CAN BE DERIVED FROM THIS RESEARCH? (SIGNIFICANCE)			
NEW RELEVANT THEORIES CAN BE DERIVED FROM THIS RESEARCH? (SIGNIFICANCE)			
(SIGNIFICANCE)	_		
Chapter 13: Limitations, Recommendations, and Conclusions			2.40
Limitations and Recommendations Overview	(SIGNIFIC	CANCE)	348
Limitations and Recommendations Overview	Chapter 13	: Limitations, Recommendations, and Conclusions	348
Limitations of this PDE/Dissertation			
Limitations and Recommendations for Lovewell Institute			
Professional Applications of the Lovewell Method			
The Lovewell Method as a Healing Modality			
Intergenerational and Adult Programs			
International Cultural and Exchange Programs		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Corporate Applications of the Lovewell Method			
Lovewell as a Business: Building the Infrastructure			
Conclusions			
Final Statement		_	
APPENDICES A Likert Survey Questionnaire			
APPENDICES A Likert Survey Questionnaire			
A Likert Survey Questionnaire	References		376
A Likert Survey Questionnaire	APPENDIC	TFS.	
B Balancing the Arts (Illustration)			395
C Combined Statistical Data (Likert Survey Analysis)			
D "Your Rights as a Participant" Form			
E Informed Consent Form			
F Permission to be Referenced in Dissertation Form		_	
G Interdisciplinary Arts (Diagram)412			
	_		